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# Third Note on Weierstrass, Theory of Elliptic Functions. 

By A. L. Daniels, Johns Hopkins University.

The Sigma-Quotients.
As long as the argument and the quasi-periods $2 \omega, 2 \omega^{\prime}$, remain the same, we may omit them, and write $\sigma, \sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}, \sigma_{3}, \frac{\sigma}{\sigma_{1}}$, etc. The functions $\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}, \sigma_{3}$ are then thus defined,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sigma_{1} u=\frac{e^{-\eta u} \sigma(\omega+u)}{\sigma \omega}=\frac{e^{\eta u} \sigma(\omega-u)}{\sigma \omega}, \\
& \sigma_{2} u=\frac{e^{-\eta^{\prime} u} \sigma\left(\omega^{\prime \prime}+u\right)}{\sigma \omega^{\prime \prime}}=\frac{e^{\eta^{\prime \prime} u} \sigma\left(\omega^{\prime \prime}-u\right)}{\sigma \omega^{\prime \prime}}, \\
& \sigma_{3} u=\frac{e^{-\eta^{\prime} u} \sigma\left(\omega^{\prime}+u\right)}{\sigma \omega^{\prime}}=\frac{e^{\eta^{\prime} u} \sigma\left(\omega^{\prime}-u\right)}{\sigma \omega^{\prime}},
\end{aligned}
$$

which are seen to be even functions. These apparently arbitrary definitions flow naturally from considerations connected with the "pocket edition,"

$$
\wp u-\wp v=\frac{\sigma(u+v) \sigma(u-v)}{\sigma^{2} u \sigma^{2} v} .
$$

Since $\wp \omega=e_{1}, \wp\left(\omega+\omega^{\prime}\right)=e_{2}, \wp \omega^{\prime}=e_{3}$, we have, using the general mark $\alpha$, and writing $v=\omega_{a} \quad \quad \quad u-e_{\alpha}=\frac{\sigma\left(u+\omega_{a}\right) \sigma\left(u-\omega_{a}\right)}{\sigma^{2} u . \sigma^{2} \omega_{a}}$.
But $\wp u$ is a truly periodic function: it remains therefore to examine the periodicity of $\sigma u$. In the second note, p. 261, I have shown that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma u & =u \Pi_{w}^{\prime}\left(1-\frac{u}{w}\right) e^{\frac{u}{w}+\frac{1}{2} \frac{u^{2}}{w^{2}}} \\
w & =m \cdot 2 \omega+m^{\prime} 2 \omega^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

degenerates into the sine when $m^{\prime}=0$, or

$$
\lim (\sigma u)_{m^{\prime}=0}=\frac{2 \omega}{\pi} e^{\frac{1}{6}\left(\frac{u \pi}{2 \omega}\right)^{2}} \cdot \sin \frac{u \pi}{2 \omega} .
$$

It was also shown that

$$
\frac{\sin \pi x}{\pi}=x{\underset{n=-\infty}{+\infty}\left[\left(1-\frac{x}{n}\right) e^{\frac{x}{n}}\right]=s(x) . . . . ~}_{\Pi^{\prime}}
$$

The following definitions are introduced as convenient:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{d x} \log s(x) & =\frac{s^{\prime}(x)}{s(x)}=s_{1} x=\frac{1}{x}+\sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} \prime\left(\frac{1}{x-n}+\frac{1}{n}\right) \\
& -\frac{d}{d x} \cdot s_{1} x=s_{2} x=\sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{(x-n)^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

in which last series the value $n=0$ is included. One sees that $s_{2}(x+1)=s_{2}(x)$, and $s_{2} x$ is periodic. Incidentally it may be remarked that on comparing the developments for $\sin \pi x$ and $s(x)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sin \pi x & =\pi x-\frac{\pi^{3} x^{3}}{3!}+\frac{\pi^{5} x^{5}}{5!}-\ldots \\
\frac{\sin \pi x}{\pi} & =s(x)=x\left(1-\left(\frac{x}{1}\right)^{2}\right)\left(1-\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^{2}\right)\left(1-\left(\frac{x}{3}\right)^{2}\right) \ldots \\
& =x-\left(1+\frac{1}{2^{2}}+\frac{1}{3^{2}}+\frac{1}{4^{2}}+\ldots\right) x^{3} \\
& +\left(\frac{1}{2^{2}}+\frac{1}{3^{2}}+\ldots\right) x^{5}
\end{aligned}
$$

or, $s(x)=x-x^{3} \sum_{1}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{n^{2}}+x^{5} \sum_{m=1}^{+\infty} \sum_{n=2}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{m^{2} n^{2}}-x^{7} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \sum_{p=3}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m^{2} \cdot n^{2} \cdot p^{2}}+\ldots$

$$
m<n
$$

$$
m<n<p
$$

whence

$$
\Sigma \frac{1}{n^{2}}=\frac{\pi^{2}}{3!}, \Sigma \Sigma \frac{1}{m^{2} n^{2}}=\frac{\pi^{4}}{5!}, \text { etc. }
$$

We can now in

$$
\sigma u=u \Pi^{\prime}\left(1-\frac{u}{w}\right) e^{\frac{u}{w}+\frac{1}{2} \frac{u^{2}}{w^{2}}}
$$

give to $m^{\prime}$ a constant value and carry out the multiplication with respect to $m$. For $m^{\prime}=0$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma u & =u \Pi^{\prime}\left(1-\frac{u}{m 2 \omega}\right) e^{\frac{u}{m 2 \omega}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{u}{m 2 \omega}\right)^{2}} \\
& =u \Pi^{\prime}\left(1-\frac{u}{m 2 \omega}\right) e^{\frac{u}{m 2 \omega}} \cdot \Pi e^{\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{u}{m 2 \omega}\right)^{2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

But

$$
\Pi e^{\frac{1}{8} \cdot \frac{1}{m^{2}} \cdot \frac{u^{2}}{\omega^{2}}}=e^{\frac{1}{8} \frac{\pi^{2}}{6} \cdot \frac{u^{2}}{\omega^{2}}}
$$

and

$$
u \Pi^{\prime}\left[\left(1-\frac{u}{m 2 \omega}\right) e^{\frac{u}{m 2 \omega}}\right]=\frac{2 \omega}{\pi} \sin \frac{u \pi}{2 \omega},
$$

which two factors furnish that part of $\sigma u$ corresponding to those values of $m$ and $m^{\prime}$ represented in the plane of complex number by points on the real axis distant from each other by $2 \omega$; in other words to the numbers

$$
0, \pm 1.2 \omega, \pm 2.2 \omega, \pm 3.2 \omega, \ldots
$$

Employing again

$$
s u=u \Pi^{\prime}\left(1-\frac{u}{n}\right) e^{\frac{u}{n}}
$$

we have

$$
\frac{s(u-a)}{s(-a)}=\frac{u-a}{-a} \Pi^{\prime}\left(1-\frac{u}{n+a}\right) e^{\frac{u}{n}}
$$

Remarking now the identity

$$
\frac{u}{n}=\frac{u}{n+a}+\frac{u}{n}+\frac{u}{-n-a}
$$

and also

$$
\frac{s^{\prime}(-a)}{s(-a)}=-\frac{1}{a}+\Sigma^{\prime}\left(\frac{1}{-n-a}+\frac{1}{n}\right)
$$

there appears

$$
\frac{s(u-a)}{s(-a)}=\left(1-\frac{u}{a}\right) \Pi^{\prime}\left(1-\frac{u}{n+a}\right) e^{\frac{u}{n+a}+\frac{u}{n}+\frac{u}{-n-a}} .
$$

But

$$
\frac{s^{\prime}(-a)}{s(-a)}=s_{1}(-a)
$$

therefore

$$
\frac{s(u-a)}{s(-a)}=\left(1-\frac{u}{a}\right) \Pi^{\prime}\left[\left(1-\frac{u}{n+a}\right) e^{\frac{u}{n+a}}\right] \cdot e^{u s_{1}(-a)+\frac{u}{a}}
$$

or, taking up $\left(1-\frac{u}{a}\right) e^{\frac{u}{a}}$ into the product as the value of $\left(1-\frac{u}{n+a}\right) e^{\frac{u}{n+a}}$ for $n=0$, we can drop the accent of the product sign and write

$$
\frac{s(u-a)}{s(-a)}=\Pi\left(1-\frac{u}{n+a}\right) e^{\frac{u}{n+a}} \cdot e^{u s_{1}(-a)}
$$

whereby the only restriction as to $a$ is that it must not be an integer. Transposing,

$$
\Pi\left(1-\frac{u}{n+a}\right) e^{\frac{u}{n+a}}=\frac{s(u-a)}{s(-a)} \cdot e^{-u \cdot s_{1}(-a)} .
$$

We are now ready to decompose the sigma-product

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Pi\left(1-\frac{u}{m \cdot 2 \omega+m^{\prime} 2 \omega^{\prime}}\right) e^{\frac{u}{m 2 \omega+m^{2} 2 \omega^{\prime}}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{u}{m 2 \omega+m^{\prime} 2 \omega^{\prime}}\right)^{2}}, \\
m=0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots \pm \infty, \\
m^{\prime}= \pm 1, \pm 2, \quad \pm \infty,
\end{gathered}
$$

where $m^{\prime}=0$ is omitted, as already accounted for, and consequently the accent on the product sign is dropped. Dividing by $2 \omega_{1}$, the formula becomes

$$
\left.\Pi\left(1-\frac{\frac{u}{2 \omega}}{m+m^{\prime} \frac{\omega^{\prime}}{\omega}}\right) e^{\frac{\frac{\pi}{2 \omega}}{m+m^{\prime} \frac{\omega^{\prime}}{\omega}}} e^{\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\frac{u}{2 \omega}}{m+m^{\prime} \frac{\omega^{\prime}}{\omega}}\right.}\right)^{2} .
$$

Writing as before

$$
s_{2}(x)=-\frac{d}{d x} s_{1} x=\Sigma \frac{1}{(n-x)^{2}},
$$

the second exponential factor becomes

$$
e^{\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{u}{2 \omega}\right)^{2} \cdot \Sigma \frac{1}{\left(m+m^{\prime} \frac{\omega^{\prime}}{\omega}\right)^{2}}}=e^{\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{u}{2 \omega}\right)^{2} \cdot s_{2}\left(-m^{\prime} \frac{\omega^{\prime}}{\omega}\right)}
$$

for each particular value of $m^{\prime}$, the summation being taken with respect to $m$ alone. The rest of the product is

$$
\Pi\left(1-\frac{\frac{u}{2 \omega}}{m+m^{\prime} \frac{\omega^{\prime}}{\omega}}\right) e^{\frac{\frac{u}{2 \omega}}{m+m^{\prime} \frac{\omega^{\prime}}{\omega}}}=\frac{s\left(\frac{u}{2 \omega}-m^{\prime} \frac{\omega^{\prime}}{\omega}\right)}{s\left(-m^{\prime} \frac{\omega^{\prime}}{\omega}\right)} \cdot e^{-\frac{u}{2 \omega} \cdot s_{1}\left(-m^{\prime} \frac{\omega^{\prime}}{\omega}\right)}
$$

by the formula above deduced. Collecting the four factors, we have

$$
\sigma u=e^{\frac{1}{8} \cdot \frac{\pi^{2}}{6} \cdot \frac{u^{2}}{\omega^{2}}} s\left(\frac{u}{2 \omega}\right)_{m^{\prime}=-\infty}^{+\infty}\left[e^{\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{u}{2 \omega}\right)^{2} s_{2}\left(-m^{\prime} \frac{\omega^{\prime}}{\omega}\right)} \cdot e^{\frac{-u}{2 \omega} \cdot s_{1}\left(-m^{\prime} \frac{\omega^{\prime}}{\omega}\right)} \cdot \frac{s\left(\frac{u}{2 \omega}-m^{\prime} \frac{\omega^{\prime}}{\omega}\right)}{s\left(-m^{\prime} \frac{\omega^{\prime}}{\omega}\right)}\right] .
$$

This formula can however be simplified in form by multiplying together the factors in pairs and taking the product from 1 to $\infty$, instead of from $-\infty$ to $+\infty$. For we had

$$
\begin{aligned}
s(x) & =x \prod_{-\infty}^{+\infty}\left(1-\frac{x}{n}\right) e^{\frac{x}{n}} \\
s_{1}(-x) & =-\frac{1}{x}+\sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty}\left(\frac{1}{-x-n}+\frac{1}{n}\right) \\
s_{2}(-x) & =\sum_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{(x+n)^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

whence it appears that $s_{1}(-x)=\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{-2 x}{x^{2}-n^{2}}$, or is an odd function, consequently

$$
\sum_{m^{\prime}=-\infty}^{+\infty} s_{1}\left(-m^{\prime}\right)=\sum_{m^{\prime}=1}^{+\infty} s_{1}\left(-m^{\prime}\right)+\sum_{m^{\prime}=1}^{+\infty} s_{1}\left(+m^{\prime}\right)=0
$$

and one exponential factor disappears, and the formula now reads

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma u & \left.\left.=2 \omega e^{\frac{1}{8} \frac{\pi^{2}}{6} \cdot \frac{u^{2}}{\omega^{2}} s} \frac{u}{2 \omega} \cdot e^{\left.\frac{1}{2} \frac{u}{2 \omega}\right)^{2} \Sigma\left[s _ { 2 } \left(-m^{\prime \omega^{\prime}}\right.\right.}\right)+s_{2}\left(+m^{\prime} \frac{\omega^{\prime}}{\omega}\right)\right] \\
& \prod_{m^{\prime}=1}^{+\infty} \frac{s\left(\frac{u}{2 \omega}-m^{\prime} \frac{\omega^{\prime}}{\omega}\right) s\left(\frac{u}{2 \omega}+m^{\prime} \frac{\omega^{\prime}}{\omega}\right)}{s\left(-m^{\prime} \frac{\omega^{\prime}}{\omega}\right) \cdot s\left(m^{\prime} \frac{\omega^{\prime}}{\omega}\right)} \\
& =2 \omega e^{\frac{1}{8} \frac{\pi^{2}}{6} \frac{u^{2}}{\omega^{2}} s} \frac{u}{2 \omega} \cdot e^{\frac{1}{8} \frac{\pi^{2}}{6} \frac{u^{2}}{\omega^{2}}+\left(\frac{u}{2 \omega}\right)_{m^{\prime}=1}^{2}+\infty} s_{2}\left(m^{\prime} \frac{\omega^{\prime}}{\omega}\right) \sum_{m^{\prime}=1}^{+\infty} \frac{s\left(\frac{u}{2 \omega}-m^{\prime} \frac{\omega^{\prime}}{\omega}\right) s\left(\frac{u}{2 \omega}+m^{\prime} \frac{\omega^{\prime}}{\omega}\right)}{s\left(-m^{\prime} \frac{\omega^{\prime}}{\omega}\right) s\left(m^{\prime} \frac{\omega^{\prime}}{\omega}\right)} \\
& =2 \omega s \frac{u}{2 \omega} \cdot e^{\left(\frac{u}{2 \omega}\right)^{2}\left[\frac{1}{2} \frac{\pi^{2}}{6}+\Sigma s_{2}\left(m^{\prime} \frac{\omega^{\prime}}{\omega}\right)\right.} \Pi \frac{s\left(\frac{u}{2 \omega}-m^{\prime} \frac{\omega^{\prime}}{\omega}\right) s\left(\frac{u}{2 \omega}+m^{\prime} \frac{\omega^{\prime}}{\omega}\right)}{s\left(-m^{\prime} \frac{\omega^{\prime}}{\omega}\right) s\left(m^{\prime} \frac{\omega^{\prime}}{\omega}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

vor. vil.
and, on passing from the $s$ and $s_{2}$ to the sine,
$\sigma u=\frac{2 \omega}{\pi} \sin \frac{u \pi}{2 \omega} \cdot e^{\left.\frac{1}{6} \frac{\pi u}{2 \omega}\right)^{2}} \Pi \frac{\sin \frac{\pi}{2 \omega}\left(2 m^{\prime} \omega^{\prime}-u\right) \sin \frac{\pi}{2 \omega}\left(2 m^{\prime} \omega^{\prime}+u\right)}{\sin ^{2} \frac{m^{\prime} \omega^{\prime} \pi}{\omega}} \cdot e^{\frac{\left(\frac{u \pi}{2 \sin ^{2}} \frac{2 m^{\prime} \omega^{\prime} \omega^{2} \pi}{\omega}\right.}{\omega}}$.
Professor Schwarz writes

$$
n=\frac{\pi^{2}}{2 \omega}\left\{\frac{1}{6}+\sum_{m^{\prime}} \frac{1}{\sin \frac{m^{\prime} \omega^{\prime} \pi}{\omega}}\right\}
$$

whereupon the sigma-function is thus represented as a singly infinite product of sines

$$
\sigma u=e^{\frac{n^{2}}{2 \omega}} \cdot \frac{2 \omega}{\pi} \cdot \sin \frac{u \pi}{2 \omega} \Pi_{m^{\prime}}\left(1-\frac{\sin ^{2}\left(\frac{u \pi}{2 \omega}\right)}{\sin ^{2}\left(\frac{m^{\prime} \omega^{\prime} \pi}{\omega}\right)}\right) .
$$

On substituting $u+2 \omega$ for $u$ the expression becomes

$$
\sigma(u+2 \omega)=-e^{2 \eta(u+\omega)} \sigma u,
$$

from which by logarithmic differentiation and writing $u=-\omega$, we find

$$
\eta=\frac{\sigma^{\prime} \omega}{\sigma \omega},
$$

Recurring now to the pocket edition

$$
\wp u-e_{a}=\frac{\sigma\left(u+\omega_{a}\right) \sigma\left(u-\omega_{a}\right)}{\sigma^{2} u \sigma^{2} \omega_{a}} .
$$

From the definitions at the beginning of this paper
so that

$$
\sigma_{a}^{2} u=e^{-2 \eta_{a} u} \frac{\sigma^{2}\left(\omega_{a}-u\right)}{\sigma^{2} \omega_{a}},
$$

$$
\wp u-e_{a}=\left(\frac{\sigma_{a} u}{\sigma u}\right)^{2} ;
$$

which is the simplest form of a doubly periodic function. In the second note was deduced the equation $\left(\wp^{\prime} u\right)^{2}=4\left(\wp u-e_{1}\right)\left(\wp u-e_{2}\right)\left(\wp u-e_{3}\right)$, and on comparison with the above

$$
\wp^{\prime} u=2 \frac{\sigma_{1} u \cdot \sigma_{2} u \cdot \sigma_{3} u}{\sigma u \cdot \sigma u \cdot \sigma u} .
$$

The sigma-quotients have not the same pair of fundamental periods as the sigma-function itself. But while

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sigma u \text { has the quasi-periods } 2 \omega, 2 \omega^{\prime} \\
& \frac{\sigma_{1} u}{\sigma u} \text { has the periods } \quad 2 \omega, 4 \omega^{\prime} \\
& \begin{array}{lll}
\frac{\sigma_{3} u}{\sigma^{2}} & " & " \\
\frac{\sigma_{2} u}{\sigma u} & " & " \\
4 \omega, 2 \omega^{\prime} \\
& & 4 \omega, 4 \omega^{\prime} .
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

This is shown in the following manner. It will be noticed that aside from exponential and constant factors, the function $\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}, \sigma_{3}$, are formed from $\sigma u$ by increasing the argument $u$ by the half-periods $\omega, \omega^{\prime \prime}=\omega+\omega^{\prime}, \omega^{\prime}$, respectively. If we write

$$
\bar{w}=r_{\omega}+r^{\prime} \omega^{\prime}, \quad \bar{n}=r \eta+r^{\prime} n^{\prime}
$$

instead of
$w=m 2 \omega+m^{\prime} 2 \omega^{\prime}$,
it is evident that $w$ and $\bar{w}$ will only then be equivalent when both $r$ and $r^{\prime}$ are even. We have then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sigma(u+2 \bar{w})=\varepsilon \sigma u \cdot e^{2 \bar{\eta}(u+\bar{w})} \\
& \sigma(u+w)=\varepsilon \sigma(u-w) e^{2 \bar{\eta} u}=-\varepsilon \sigma(w-u) e^{2 \bar{\eta} u}
\end{aligned}
$$

and, writing $u=0, \sigma \bar{w}=-\varepsilon \sigma(w)$, or $\varepsilon=-1$ when either $r$ or $r^{\prime}$ is odd. If both are even, then $\bar{w} \equiv w$ and $\sigma w=0$. To determine the value of $\varepsilon$ in this case, develop both sides according to powers of $u$

$$
u . \sigma^{\prime} \bar{w}+u^{2}+\ldots=\varepsilon . u . \sigma^{\prime} \bar{w}+\ldots
$$

and $\varepsilon=+1$ when both $r$ and $r^{\prime}$ are even. Now the formula

$$
(r+1)\left(r^{\prime}+1\right)-1=r r^{\prime}+r+r^{\prime}
$$

is only even when both $r$ and $r^{\prime}$ are even ; we can write therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sigma(u+2 \bar{w})=(-1)^{r r^{\prime}+r+r^{\prime}} . \sigma u \cdot e^{2 \bar{\eta}(u+\bar{w})} \\
& \sigma\left(u+\omega_{a}+2 \bar{w}\right)=(-1)^{r r^{\prime}+r+r^{\prime}} . \sigma\left(u+\omega_{\alpha}\right) e^{2 \bar{\eta}\left(u+\omega_{a}+\bar{w}\right)} ;
\end{aligned}
$$

but, from the definition $\quad \sigma\left(u+\omega_{a}\right)=e^{\eta_{a} u} \cdot \sigma_{a} u \cdot \sigma \omega_{a}$,
whence, writing for $u, u+2 \bar{w}$

$$
\sigma\left(u+\omega_{a}+2 \bar{w}\right)=e^{\eta_{a}(u+2 \bar{w})} \sigma_{a}(u+2 \bar{w}) \cdot \sigma \omega_{a},
$$

and, equating the right-hand members,

$$
\sigma_{a}(u+2 \bar{w}) \sigma \omega_{a}=(-1)^{r r^{\prime}+r+r^{\prime}} . \sigma\left(u+\omega_{a}\right) e^{2 \bar{\eta}\left(u+\omega_{a}+\bar{w}\right)-\eta_{a}(u+2 \bar{w})}
$$

or, writing $u-\bar{w}$ for $u$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sigma_{a}(u+\bar{w}) \sigma_{\omega_{a}}=(-1)^{r r^{\prime}+r+r^{\prime}} . \sigma_{a}(u-\bar{w}) e^{2\left(\bar{\eta} \omega_{a}-\eta_{a} \bar{w}\right)+2 \bar{\eta} u}, \\
\frac{\sigma_{a}(u+\bar{w})}{\sigma_{a}(u-\bar{w})}=(-1)^{r r^{\prime}+r+r^{\prime}} e^{2\left(\bar{\eta} \omega_{a}-\eta_{a} \bar{w}\right)+2 \bar{\eta} \bar{u}},
\end{gathered}
$$

and for $u=0$, since $\sigma_{a}(-\bar{w})=\sigma_{a}(+\bar{w})$ we have for the determination of $r$ and $r^{\prime}$,

$$
1=e^{2\left(\bar{\eta} v_{a}-\eta_{a} \bar{w}\right)+\left(r r^{\prime}+r+r^{\prime}\right) \pi i} .
$$

For the case $\alpha=1$, we shall have $\bar{\eta}=r \eta+r^{\prime} \eta^{\prime}, \bar{w}=r \omega+r^{\prime} \omega^{\prime}, \eta_{\alpha}=\eta, \omega_{a}=\omega$, and

$$
1=e^{2 r^{\prime}\left(n^{\prime} \omega-\eta \omega^{\prime}\right)+\left(r r^{\prime}+r+r^{\prime}\right) \pi i}
$$

But

$$
\eta^{\prime} \omega-\eta \omega^{\prime}= \pm \frac{\pi i}{2},
$$

whence

$$
\sigma_{1}(u+2 \bar{w})=(-1)^{r r^{\prime}+r} \cdot \sigma_{1} u \cdot e^{2 \bar{\eta}}(u+\bar{w}) .
$$

For the case $\alpha=3$, we shall have

$$
\eta_{a}=\eta^{\prime}, \omega_{\alpha}=\omega^{\prime}, 2\left(\bar{\gamma} \omega_{a}-\eta_{a} \bar{\omega}\right)=2 r\left(\eta \omega^{\prime}-\eta^{\prime} \omega\right)
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sigma_{3}(u+2 \bar{w})=(-1)^{r r^{\prime}+r^{\prime}} \sigma_{3} u \cdot e^{2 \eta(u+\bar{w})} \\
& \sigma_{2}(u+2 \bar{w})=(-1)^{r r^{\prime} \sigma_{2} u e^{2 \eta(u+\bar{w})}} \\
& \frac{\sigma_{1}(u+2 \bar{w})}{\sigma(u+2 \bar{w})}=-(-1)^{r r^{\prime}+r} \cdot \frac{\sigma_{1} u}{\sigma u} \\
& \frac{\sigma_{3}(u+2 \bar{w})}{\sigma(u+2 \bar{w})}=-(-1)^{r r^{\prime}+r^{\prime}} \cdot \frac{\sigma_{3} u}{\sigma u}, \\
& \frac{\sigma_{2}(u+2 \bar{w})}{\sigma(u+2 \bar{w})}=-(-1)^{r r^{\prime}} \cdot \frac{\sigma_{2} u}{\sigma u} .
\end{aligned}
$$

and likewise
so that

In order therefore that $2 w=2\left(r \omega+r^{\prime} \omega^{\prime}\right)$ may be a period of $\frac{\sigma_{1} u}{\sigma u}$, we must have $(-1)^{r r^{\prime}+r+1}=1$, or $r r^{\prime}+r+1=$ even, $r\left(r^{\prime}+1\right)=$ odd, that is $r=$ odd, $r^{\prime}=$ even, so that $2 \bar{w}=2 m \omega+4 m^{\prime} \omega^{\prime}$, where $m$ and $m^{\prime}$ are integers. In like manner, for $\alpha=3$ we shall have $2 \bar{w}=4 m \omega+2 m^{\prime} \omega^{\prime}$, and for $\alpha=2,2 \bar{w}=4 m \omega+4 m^{\prime} \omega^{\prime}$.

The relation will now be shown between the sigma-quotients on the one hand, and the notation of Jacobi and Abel on the other. The Jacobian differential equation is

$$
\left(\frac{d x}{d u}\right)^{2}=\left(1-x^{2}\right)\left(1-k^{2} x^{2}\right)
$$

In the second note, p. 267, we had
or, since

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(\wp^{\prime} u\right)^{2}=4\left(\wp u-e_{1}\right)\left(\wp u-e_{2}\right)\left(\wp u-e_{3}\right), \\
\wp u-e_{\lambda}=\left(\frac{\sigma_{\lambda} u}{\sigma u}\right)^{2} ; \lambda=1,2,3 \\
\wp^{\prime} u=-2 \frac{\sigma_{\lambda} u \cdot \sigma_{\mu} u . \sigma_{\nu} u}{\sigma u . \sigma u \cdot \sigma u} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Writing now for convenience $\frac{\sigma u}{\sigma_{\lambda} u}=\xi_{0 \lambda}, \frac{\sigma_{\mu} u}{\sigma_{\nu} u}=\xi_{\mu \nu}$, etc., the last equation beco:

$$
\frac{d \xi_{0 \lambda}}{d u}=\xi_{\mu \lambda} \cdot \xi_{\nu \lambda}, \frac{d \xi_{\mu \nu}}{d u}=-\left(e_{\mu}-e_{\nu}\right) \xi_{\lambda \nu} \cdot \xi_{0 \nu}, \frac{d \xi_{\lambda 0}}{d u}=-\xi_{\mu 0} \xi_{\nu 0}
$$

For $u=0$ these functions $\xi$ satisfy the conditions

$$
\xi_{0 \lambda}=0, \quad \xi_{\mu \nu}=1, \quad \xi_{\lambda 0}=\infty
$$

From

$$
\wp u-e_{\lambda}=\left(\frac{\sigma_{\lambda} u}{\sigma u}\right)^{2}, \lambda=1,2,3,=\lambda, \mu, v
$$

we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{\mu}^{2} u-\sigma_{\nu}^{2} u+\left(e_{\mu}-e_{\nu}\right) \sigma^{2} u & =0 \\
\sigma_{\nu}^{2} u-\sigma_{\lambda}^{2} u+\left(e_{\nu}-e_{\lambda}\right) \sigma^{2} u & =0 \\
\sigma_{\lambda}^{2} u-\sigma_{\mu}^{2} u+\left(e_{\lambda}-e_{\mu}\right) \sigma^{2} u & =0 \\
\left(e_{\mu}-e_{\nu}\right) \sigma_{\lambda} u+\left(e_{\nu}-e_{\lambda}\right) \sigma_{\mu} u+\left(e_{\lambda}-e_{\mu}\right) \sigma_{\nu} u & =0
\end{aligned}
$$

The differential equations are then thus transformed

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\frac{d \xi_{0 \lambda}}{d u}\right)^{2}=\left(\frac{d}{d u} \frac{\sigma}{\sigma_{\lambda}}\right)^{2}=\xi_{\mu \lambda}^{2} \cdot \xi_{\nu \lambda}^{2} \equiv \frac{\sigma_{\mu}^{2} \sigma_{\nu}^{2}}{\sigma_{\lambda}^{2} \sigma_{\lambda}^{2}} \\
& =\frac{\left[\sigma_{\lambda}^{2}+\left(e_{\lambda}-e_{\mu}\right) \sigma^{2}\right]\left[\sigma_{\lambda}^{2}-\left(e_{\nu}-e_{\lambda}\right) \sigma^{2}\right]}{\sigma_{\lambda}^{2} \cdot \sigma_{\lambda}^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

or

$$
\left(\frac{d}{d u} \xi_{0 \lambda}\right)^{2}=\left[1-\left(e_{\mu}-e_{\lambda}\right)\left(\frac{\sigma}{\sigma_{\lambda}}\right)^{2}\right]\left[1-\left(e_{\nu}-e_{\lambda}\right)\left(\frac{\sigma}{\sigma_{\lambda}}\right)^{2}\right]
$$

and similarly

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\frac{d}{d u} \cdot \xi_{\mu \nu}\right)^{2}=\left[1-\xi_{\mu \nu}^{2}\right]\left[e_{\mu}-e_{\lambda}+\left(e_{\lambda}-e_{\nu}\right) \xi_{\mu \nu}^{2}\right] \\
& \left(\frac{d}{d u} \cdot \xi_{\lambda 0}\right)^{2}=\left[\xi_{\lambda 0}^{2}-e_{\lambda}-e_{\mu}\right]\left[\xi_{\lambda 0}^{2}+e_{\lambda}-e_{\nu}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

and, in general, the four functions

$$
\frac{\sigma u}{\sigma_{\lambda} u}, \quad \frac{1}{\sqrt{e_{\mu}-e_{\lambda}}} \cdot \frac{\sigma_{\mu} u}{\sigma_{\nu} u}, \quad \frac{1}{\sqrt{e_{\nu}-e_{\lambda}}} \cdot \frac{\sigma_{\nu} u}{\sigma_{\mu} u}, \quad \frac{1}{\sqrt{e_{\mu}-e_{\nu}} \sqrt{e_{\nu}-e_{\lambda}}} \cdot \frac{\sigma_{\lambda} u}{\sigma u},
$$

satisfy the same differential equation

$$
\left(\frac{d \vec{\xi}}{d u}\right)^{2}=\left(1-\left(e_{\mu}-e_{\lambda}\right) \xi^{2}\right)\left(1-\left(e_{\nu}-e_{\lambda}\right) \xi^{2}\right)
$$

In order to compare these with the Jacobian differential equation, we have only to write
whereupon

$$
\sqrt{e_{\lambda}-e_{\mu}} \xi_{0 \lambda}=\xi, \quad u_{1}=\sqrt{e_{\lambda}-e_{\mu}} \cdot u, \quad \frac{e_{\nu}-e_{\lambda}}{e_{\mu}-e_{\lambda}}=k^{2}
$$

$$
\frac{\xi}{\sqrt{e_{\lambda}-e_{\mu}}}=\xi_{0 \lambda}=\frac{\sigma u}{\sigma_{\lambda} u}=\frac{\operatorname{sn} u_{1}}{\sqrt{e_{\lambda}-e_{\mu}}}=\frac{\operatorname{sn}\left(\sqrt{e_{\lambda}-e_{\mu}} \cdot u, k\right)}{\sqrt{e_{\lambda}-e_{\mu}}}
$$

and in a similar manner all the twelve sigma-quotients are produced,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\sigma u}{\sigma_{3} u}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{e_{1}-e_{3}}} \operatorname{sn}\left(\sqrt{e_{1}-e_{3}} \cdot u, l_{k}\right) \\
& \frac{\sigma_{1} u}{\sigma_{3} u}=\operatorname{cn}\left(\sqrt{e_{1}-e_{3}} . u, l_{c}\right) \\
& \frac{\sigma_{2} u}{\sigma_{3} u}=\operatorname{dn}\left(\sqrt{e_{1}-e_{3}} \cdot u, k\right) \\
& \frac{\sigma_{1} u}{\sigma_{2} u}=\operatorname{sncoam}\left(\sqrt{e_{1}-e_{3}} . u, k\right) \\
& \frac{\sigma_{1} u}{\sigma_{2} u}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{e_{1}-e_{2}}} \cos \operatorname{coam}\left(\sqrt{e_{1}-e_{3}} . u, k\right) \\
& \frac{\sigma_{3} u}{\sigma_{2} u}=\frac{\sqrt{e_{1}-e_{3}}}{\sqrt{e_{1}-e_{2}}} \Delta \operatorname{coam}\left(\sqrt{e_{1}-e_{3}} . u, k\right) \\
& \frac{\sigma_{1} u}{\sigma u}=\sqrt{e_{1}-e_{3}} \frac{\mathrm{cn}\left(\sqrt{e_{1}-e_{3}} \cdot u, k\right)}{\operatorname{sn}\left(\sqrt{e_{1}-e_{3}} \cdot u, k\right)} \\
& \frac{\sigma_{2} u}{\sigma u}=\sqrt{e_{1}-e_{3}} \frac{\operatorname{dn}\left(\sqrt{e_{1}-e_{3}} \cdot u, k\right)}{\operatorname{sn}\left(\sqrt{e_{1}-e_{3}} \cdot u, k\right)} \\
& \frac{\sigma_{3} u}{\sigma u}=\sqrt{e_{1}-e_{3}} \frac{1}{\operatorname{sn}\left(\sqrt{e_{1}-e_{3}} \cdot u, k\right)} \\
& \frac{\sigma u}{\sigma_{1} u}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{e-e}} \operatorname{tn}\left(\sqrt{e_{1}-e_{3}} . u, k\right) \\
& \frac{\sigma_{2} u}{\sigma_{1} u}=\frac{1}{\sin \operatorname{coam}\left(\sqrt{e_{1}-e_{3}} \cdot u, k\right)} \\
& \operatorname{coam}\left(\sqrt{e_{1}-e_{3}} . u, k\right)=\operatorname{am}\left(K-\sqrt{e_{1}-e_{3}} . u, k\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Abel writes (Oeuvres, t. I, p. 265, nouvelle édition),

$$
\begin{gathered}
u=\int_{0} \frac{d x}{\sqrt{\left(1-c^{2} x^{2}\right)\left(1+e^{2} x^{2}\right)}}, \\
x=\phi u, \quad \sqrt{1-c^{2} x^{2}}=f u, \quad \sqrt{1+e^{2} x^{2}}=F u
\end{gathered}
$$

comparing which with the Weierstrassian notation,

$$
x=\phi u=\frac{\sigma u}{\sigma_{2} u}, \quad f u=\sigma_{\sigma_{2} u}^{\sigma_{1} u}, \quad F u=\frac{\sigma_{3} u}{\sigma_{2} u},
$$

if only

$$
e_{1}-e_{2}=-c^{2}, \quad-\left(e_{3}-e_{2}\right)=e^{2} .
$$

As regards the analogues of Jacobi's $K$ and $K$, it is to be noticed that, as usually defined by the equations

$$
K=\int_{0}^{\prime} \frac{d t}{\sqrt{1-t^{2}} \cdot \sqrt{1-k^{2} t^{2}}}, \quad K^{\prime}=\int_{0}^{\prime} \frac{d t}{\sqrt{1-t^{2}} \cdot \sqrt{1-k^{2} t^{2}}},
$$

the values are only unambiguous when the path of integration is fixed, it being generally understood that the path of integration is the straight line from 0 to 1 . Corresponding to this we have, e. g.,

$$
K=\sqrt{e_{1}-e_{3}}\left(\omega+4 p \omega+2 q \omega^{\prime}\right)
$$

where the determination of the path of integration corresponds to the freedom of choice of $p$ and $q$. Commonly we have $p=q=0$, and

$$
K=\omega \sqrt{e_{1}-e_{3}}, \quad K^{\prime} i=\omega^{\prime} \sqrt{e_{1}-e_{3}},
$$

and then $2 \omega, 2 \omega^{\prime}$ form a primitive period-pair for the function $\wp\left(u, g_{1}, g_{2}\right)$, and, if we write as before $\omega+\omega^{\prime}=\omega^{\prime \prime}$, or $\omega_{1}+\omega_{3}=\omega_{2}$, then is $\wp \omega_{1}=e_{1}, \wp \omega_{2}=e_{2}$, $\wp_{\omega_{3}}=e_{3}$.

The functions $\sigma_{1} u, \sigma_{2} u, \sigma_{3} u$, can be represented as an infinite product of the same form as that for $\sigma u$ by writing

$$
w_{1}=(2 \mu+1) \omega+2 \mu^{\prime} \omega^{\prime}, w_{2}=(2 \mu+1) \omega+\left(2 \mu^{\prime}+1\right) \omega^{\prime}, w_{3}=2 \mu \omega+(2 \mu+1) \omega^{\prime},
$$

where $\mu, \mu^{\prime}=0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots \pm \infty$; namely,

$$
\sigma_{\lambda} u=e^{-\frac{1}{\varepsilon} e_{\lambda} u^{2}} \Pi_{w_{\lambda}}\left(1-\frac{u}{w_{\lambda}}\right) e^{\frac{u}{w_{\lambda}}+\frac{1}{2} \frac{u^{2}}{w_{\lambda}^{2}}} .
$$

But these functions are also representible in the form of singly infinite products. As an aid in transforming, Professor Schwarz makes use of the following table. When the argument $u$ assumes the values $u+\omega, u+\omega^{\prime}, u+\omega^{\prime \prime}$, then the magnitudes $v=\frac{u}{2 \omega}, z=e^{v \pi i}, 2 \eta \omega v^{2}, e^{2 n \omega v^{2}}$, assume the values in the table, where $\tau=\frac{\omega^{\prime}}{\omega}$, $h=e^{\tau \pi i}$,

| $u$ | $u+\omega$ | $u+\omega^{\prime}$ | $u+\omega^{\prime \prime}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $v$ | $v+\frac{1}{2}$ | $v+\frac{1}{2} \tau$ | $v+\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2} \tau$ |
| $z$ | $i z$ | $h^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot z$ | $i \cdot h^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot z$ |
| $2 \eta \omega v^{2}$ | $2 \eta \omega v^{2}+\eta u+\frac{1}{2} \eta \omega$ | $2 \eta \omega v^{2}+\eta^{\prime} u+\frac{1}{2} \eta^{\prime} \omega^{\prime}+\frac{1}{4} \tau \pi i+v \pi i$ | $2 \eta \omega v^{2}+\eta^{\prime \prime} u+\frac{1}{2} \eta^{\prime \prime} \omega^{\prime \prime}+\frac{1}{4} \pi i+\frac{1}{4} \tau \pi i+v \pi i$ |
| $e^{2 \eta \omega v^{2}}$ | $e^{2 \eta \omega v^{2}} \cdot e^{\eta u} \cdot e^{\frac{1}{2} \eta \omega}$ | $e^{2 \eta \omega v^{2}} \cdot e^{\eta^{\prime} u} \cdot e^{\frac{1}{\eta^{\prime} \omega^{\prime}} \cdot h^{\frac{1}{4}} \cdot z}$ | $e^{2 \eta \omega v^{2}} \cdot e^{\eta^{\prime \prime} u} \cdot e^{\frac{1}{\eta^{\prime \prime} \omega^{\prime \prime}}} \cdot \sqrt{ } i \cdot h^{\frac{1}{4}} \cdot z$ |

With the help of this table the infinite product for $\sigma u$ on page 261 , Vol. VI of this Journal, can be transformed as follows: Developing

$$
\left(1-h^{2 n} \cdot z^{2}\right)\left(1-h^{2 n} z^{-2}\right)=1-2 h^{2 n} \cos \frac{u \pi}{\omega}+h^{4 n}
$$

since

$$
\begin{aligned}
1- & \cos \frac{u \pi}{\omega}=2 \sin ^{2} \frac{u \pi}{2 \omega} \\
\left(1-h^{2 n} z^{2}\right)\left(1-h^{2 n} z^{-2}\right) & =1-2 h^{2 n}+4 h^{2 n} \cdot \sin ^{2} \frac{u \pi}{\omega}+h^{4 n} \\
& =\left(1-h^{2 n}\right)^{2}+4 h^{2 n} \cdot \sin ^{2} \frac{u \pi}{2 \omega} \\
& =\left(1-h^{2 n}\right)^{2}\left\{1+\left(\frac{2}{h^{n}-h^{-n}}\right)^{2} \cdot \sin ^{2} \frac{u \pi}{2 \omega}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

but $\frac{h^{n}-h^{-n}}{2}=i \sin n \frac{\pi \omega^{\prime}}{\omega}$, consequently

$$
\left(1-h^{2 n} z^{2}\right)\left(1-h^{2 n} z^{-2}\right)=\left(1-h^{2 n}\right)^{2}\left\{1-\frac{\sin ^{2} \frac{u \pi}{2 \omega}}{\sin ^{2} n \frac{\pi \omega^{\prime}}{\omega}}\right\}
$$

and

$$
\sigma u=\frac{2 \omega}{\pi} \cdot e^{\frac{n u^{2}}{2 \omega}} \cdot \frac{z-z^{-1}}{2 i} \Pi_{n} \frac{1-h^{2 n} z^{2}}{1-h^{2 n}} \cdot \frac{1-h^{2 n} z^{-2}}{1-h^{2 n}},
$$

which is the desired expression for $\sigma u$. Since further

$$
\sigma_{1} u=e^{-\eta u} \cdot \frac{\sigma(u+\omega)}{\sigma \omega},
$$

we obtain by the assistance of the table the analogous expressions for $\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}, \sigma_{3}$; namely $\quad \sigma_{1} u=e^{2 n v v^{2}} \cdot \cos v \pi \Pi_{n} \frac{\cos (n \pi-v) \pi}{\cos n \tau \pi} \cdot e^{-v \pi i} \cdot \Pi_{n} \frac{\cos (n \tau+v) \pi}{\cos n \tau \pi} \cdot e^{v \pi i}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =e^{2 n o v^{2}} \cdot \frac{z+z^{-1}}{2} \cdot \Pi_{n} \frac{1+h^{2 n} z^{-2}}{1+h^{2 n}} \cdot \Pi_{n} \frac{1+h^{2 n} z^{2}}{1+h^{2 n}} \\
& =e^{2 n v v^{2}} \cdot \cos v \pi \cdot \Pi_{n} \frac{1+2 h^{2 n} \cos \cdot 2 \pi \pi+h^{n n}}{\left(1+h^{2 n}\right)^{2}},
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\sigma_{2} u=e^{2 n v o v^{2}} \cdot \Pi_{n} \frac{\cos \left(\left(n-\frac{1}{2}\right) \tau-v\right) \pi}{\cos \left(n-\frac{1}{2}\right) \tau \pi} \cdot e^{-v \pi i} \cdot \Pi_{n} \frac{\cos \left(\left(n-\frac{1}{2}\right) \tau+v\right) \pi}{\cos \left(n-\frac{1}{2}\right) \tau \pi} \cdot e^{v \pi i}
$$

$$
=e^{2 n \omega v^{2}} \cdot \Pi_{n} \frac{1+h^{2 n-1} \cdot z^{2}}{1-h^{2 n-1}} \cdot \Pi_{n} \frac{1+h^{2 n-1} \cdot z^{2}}{1+h^{2 n-1}}
$$

$$
=e^{2 n \omega v v^{2}} \cdot \Pi_{n} \frac{1+2 h^{2 n-1} \cdot \cos 2 v \pi+h^{4 n-2}}{\left(1+h^{2 n-1}\right)^{2}}
$$

$$
\sigma_{3} u=e^{2 m \omega v} \cdot \frac{\Pi_{n}}{} \frac{\sin \left(\left(n-\frac{1}{2}\right) \tau-v\right) \pi}{\sin \left(n-\frac{1}{2}\right) \tau \pi} \cdot e^{-v \pi i} \cdot \Pi_{n} \frac{\sin \left(\left(n-\frac{1}{8}\right) \tau+v\right) \pi}{\sin \left(n-\frac{1}{2}\right) \tau \pi} \cdot e^{v \pi i}
$$

$$
=e^{2 n \omega v^{2}} \cdot \Pi_{n} \frac{1-h^{2 n-1} z^{-2}}{1-h^{2 n-1}} \cdot \Pi_{n} \frac{1-h^{2 n-1} z^{2}}{1-h^{2 n-1}}
$$

$$
=e^{2 n v v^{2}} \cdot \Pi_{n} \frac{1-2 h^{2 n-1} \cdot \cos 2 v \pi+h^{4 n-2}}{\left(1-h^{2 n-1}\right)^{2}}
$$

Analogous to the expression for $\sigma u$ at the bottom of p . 261, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sigma_{1} u=e^{\frac{n m^{2}}{2 \omega}} \cdot \cos \frac{u \pi}{2 \omega} \cdot \Pi_{n}\left(1-\frac{\sin ^{2} \frac{u \pi}{2 \omega}}{\cos ^{2} n \frac{\omega^{\prime} \pi}{\omega}}\right) \\
& \sigma_{2} u=e^{\frac{n u^{2}}{2 \omega}} \cdot \Pi_{n}\left(1-\frac{\sin ^{2} \frac{u \pi}{2 \omega}}{\cos ^{2}\left(n-\frac{1}{2}\right) \frac{\omega^{\prime} \pi}{\omega}}\right) \\
& \sigma_{3} u=e^{\frac{n u^{2}}{2 \omega}} \cdot \Pi_{n}\left(1-\frac{\sin ^{2} \frac{u \pi}{2 \omega}}{\sin ^{2}\left(n-\frac{1}{2}\right) \frac{\omega^{\prime} \pi}{\omega}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

In the normal case we shall have $\omega$ real and $\frac{\omega^{\prime}}{\omega}$ imaginary, and therefore none of the quotients under the product sign can assume the value unity. The functions $\sigma u$ and $\sigma_{1} u$ disappear accordingly only when $\sin \frac{u \pi}{2 \omega}$ and $\cos \frac{u \pi}{2 \omega}$ respectively vanish. The Jacobian functions $\frac{\sigma}{\sigma_{3}}, \frac{\sigma_{1}}{\sigma_{3}}, \frac{\sigma_{2}}{\sigma_{3}}$, are analogous, the first to the sine, the second to the cosine, while the third remains positive for real values of $u$. The Abelian forms $\frac{\sigma}{\sigma_{2}}, \frac{\sigma_{1}}{\sigma_{2}}, \frac{\sigma_{3}}{\sigma_{2}}$, are analogous, the first to the tangent, the second and third to the secant.

The expressions for the root-differences and the connection with the $\mathcal{I}$-functions are obtained in the following manner. Defining as above $h=e^{\frac{\omega^{\prime}}{\omega} \pi i}=e^{\tau \pi i}$, and writing

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h_{0}=\prod_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(1-h^{2 n}\right), h_{1}=\prod_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(1+h^{2 n}\right), h_{2}=\prod_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(1+h^{2 n-1}\right), h_{3}=\prod_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(1-h^{2 n-1}\right), \\
& \text { then is } \\
& h_{0}=h_{0} . h_{1} \cdot h_{2} \cdot h_{3} ; \quad h_{1} \cdot h_{2} \cdot h_{3}=1 . \\
& \text { For } \\
& h_{0}=\left(1-h^{2}\right)\left(1-h^{4}\right)\left(1-h^{6}\right) \ldots \\
& =(1+h)\left(1+h^{2}\right)\left(1+h^{3}\right) \ldots \\
& .(1-h)\left(1-h^{2}\right)\left(1-h^{3}\right) . .
\end{aligned}
$$

and the proof is apparent. With the aid of these facts the relation between the periods and the root-differences is easily discovered. Starting again from the "pocket-edition"

$$
\wp u-\wp v=\frac{\sigma(u+v) \sigma(v-u)}{\sigma^{2} u \sigma^{2} v},
$$

and writing $u=\omega, v=\omega^{\prime}, \omega^{\prime \prime}=\omega+\omega^{\prime}$, the equation becomes

$$
e_{1}-e_{3}=\frac{\sigma\left(\omega^{\prime \prime} \cdot \sigma\left(\omega^{\prime}-\omega\right)\right.}{\sigma^{2} \omega \sigma^{2} \omega^{\prime}} .
$$
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But noticing that $\omega^{\prime}-\omega=\omega^{\prime \prime}-2 \omega, \omega^{\prime \prime}-\omega=\omega^{\prime}$, and that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma\left(\omega^{\prime \prime}-2 \omega\right) & =-\sigma\left(-\omega^{\prime \prime}+2 \omega\right)=-\sigma \omega^{\prime \prime} \cdot e^{-2 \eta\left(\omega^{\prime}-\omega\right)}, \\
\sigma\left(\omega^{\prime}-\omega\right) & =\sigma\left(\omega^{\prime \prime}-2 \omega\right)=-\sigma \omega^{\prime \prime} \cdot e^{-2 \eta\left(\omega^{\prime \prime}-\omega\right)},
\end{aligned}
$$

the equation becomes

$$
e_{1}-e_{3}=-\left(\frac{\sigma \omega^{\prime \prime}}{\sigma \omega \sigma \omega^{\prime}}\right)^{2} \cdot e^{-2 \eta \omega^{\prime}} .
$$

And in a similar manner, writing $u=\omega, v=\omega+\omega^{\prime}$, we have,

$$
e_{1}-e_{2}=\frac{\sigma\left(\omega^{\prime}+2 \omega\right) \sigma\left(\omega^{\prime}\right)}{\sigma^{2} \omega \sigma^{2} \omega^{\prime \prime}}=-\left(\frac{\sigma\left(\omega^{\prime}\right.}{\sigma \omega \sigma\left(\omega^{\prime \prime}\right.}\right)^{2} \cdot e^{2 n \omega^{\prime \prime}},
$$

and for $u=\omega^{\prime \prime}, v=\omega^{\prime}$,

$$
e_{2}-e_{3}=\frac{\sigma\left(\omega+2 \omega^{\prime \prime}\right) \sigma(\omega)}{\sigma^{2} \omega^{\prime \prime} \sigma^{2} \omega^{\prime}}=\left(\frac{\sigma \omega^{\prime}}{\sigma \omega \sigma \omega^{\prime \prime}}\right)^{2} \cdot e^{2 \eta^{\prime} \omega^{\prime \prime}}
$$

But these formulæ can be still further simplified. From

$$
\sigma u=\frac{2 \omega}{\pi} e^{\frac{n a^{2}}{2 \omega}} \cdot \mathrm{sn} \frac{u \pi^{\prime}}{2 \omega} \cdot \Pi \frac{1-h^{2 n} z^{2}}{1-h^{2 n}} \cdot \frac{1-h^{2 n} z^{-2}}{1-h^{2 n}},
$$

we have for $u=\omega$

$$
\sigma \omega=\frac{2 \omega}{\pi} e^{\frac{\eta \omega}{2}} \cdot \Pi \frac{1+h^{2 n}}{1-h^{2 n}} \cdot \frac{1+h^{2 n}}{1-h^{2 n}}=\frac{2 \omega}{\pi} e^{\frac{\eta \omega}{2}} \cdot \frac{h_{1}^{2}}{h_{0}^{2}} .
$$

And similarly

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sigma \omega^{\prime}=e^{\frac{\omega^{\prime 2}}{2 \omega}} \cdot \frac{1}{1-h} \cdot h^{-\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \frac{h^{-1}}{2 i} \cdot \frac{h_{3}^{2}}{h_{0}}, \\
& \sigma \omega^{\prime \prime}=\frac{2 \omega}{\pi} e^{\frac{\left(\eta+\eta^{\prime} \omega^{\prime \prime}\right.}{2}} \sqrt{\frac{\bar{i}}{2} \cdot h^{-\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \frac{h_{2}^{2}}{h_{0}^{2}} .}
\end{aligned}
$$

The expressions for the root-differences become then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\frac{2 \omega}{\pi}\right)^{2}\left(e_{1}-e_{3}\right) & =\left(\frac{h_{2} h_{0}}{h_{1} h_{3}}\right)^{4}=h_{0}^{4} h_{2}^{8} \\
\left(\frac{2 \omega}{\pi}\right)^{2}\left(e_{1}-e_{2}\right) & =\left(\frac{h_{3}^{2} h_{0}^{2}}{h_{2}^{2} h_{1}^{2}}\right)^{2}=h_{0}^{4} h_{3}^{8} \\
\left(\frac{2 \omega}{\pi^{2}}\right)^{2}\left(e_{2}-e_{3}\right) & =16 . h . h_{0}^{4} h_{1}^{8}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $h=e^{\frac{\omega^{\omega}}{\omega} \pi i}$, and $h_{0}, h_{1}, h_{2}, h_{3}$, are defined above. In accordance also with previous definitions for the $k$ and $k^{\prime}$ of Jacobi,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& k^{2}=\frac{e_{2}-e_{3}}{e_{1}-e_{3}}=16 h\left\{\frac{\left(1+h^{2}\right)\left(1+h^{4}\right)\left(1+h^{6}\right) \ldots}{(1+h)\left(1+h^{3}\right)\left(1+h^{5}\right) \ldots}\right\}^{8} \\
& k^{2}=\frac{e_{1}-e_{2}}{e_{1}-e_{3}}=\quad\left\{\frac{(1-h)\left(1-h^{3}\right)\left(1-h^{5}\right) \ldots}{(1+h)\left(1+h^{3}\right)\left(1+h^{5}\right) \ldots}\right\}^{8}
\end{aligned}
$$

The four sigma-functions are now expressed through the functions $\mathcal{A}$ and $\Theta$, as follows. The infinite product $F(z)=\Pi_{n}\left(1-h^{2 n}\right)\left(1+h^{2 n-1} z^{-2}\right)\left(1+h^{2 n-1} z^{+2}\right)$ can be expressed as a power series of $z^{2}$ which converges for all values of $z$ except
vol. vil.
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$z=0$ ，so long as $h<1$ ．This is plain from the following identity，

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Pi_{n}\left(1-h^{2 n}\right)\left(1+h^{2 n-1} z^{-2}\right)\left(1+h^{2 n-1} z^{2}\right) \\
& \quad=1+h\left(z^{2}+z^{-2}\right)+h^{4}\left(z^{4}+z^{-4}\right)+h^{9}\left(z^{6}+z^{-6}\right)+\ldots
\end{aligned}
$$

The development of the sigma－function follows at once，since

$$
h_{2}^{2} \cdot h_{0} \cdot \sigma_{2} u=e^{\frac{n u^{2}}{2 \omega}} \cdot F(z) \text { and } \sqrt{\frac{2 \omega}{\pi}} \sqrt{e_{1}-e_{3}}=h_{0} \cdot h_{2}^{2} .
$$

We have then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sqrt{\frac{2 \omega}{\pi}} \sqrt[4]{e_{1}-e_{3}} \cdot \sigma_{2} u=e^{\frac{n u^{2}}{2 \omega}} F(z)=e^{\frac{n u^{2}}{2 \omega}} \cdot \sum_{n} h^{n^{2}} z^{2 n} \\
& \sqrt{\frac{2 \omega}{\pi}} \sqrt[4]{e_{1}-e_{2}} \cdot \sigma_{3} u=e^{\frac{\eta u^{2}}{2 \omega}} F(z i)=e^{\frac{n u^{2}}{2 \omega}} \cdot \sum_{n}(-1)^{n} h^{n} z^{2 n} \\
& \sqrt{\frac{2 \omega}{\pi}} \sqrt[4]{e_{2}-e_{3}} \cdot \sigma_{1} u=e^{\frac{n u^{2}}{2 \omega}} \cdot h^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot z \cdot F\left(z h^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)=e^{\frac{n u^{2}}{2 \omega}} \cdot \sum_{n}^{1} h^{\left.\frac{1}{4 n}+1\right)^{2} z^{2 n+1}} \\
& \sqrt{\frac{2 \omega}{\pi}} \sqrt[4]{e_{1}-e_{3}} \sqrt[4]{e_{1}-e_{2}} \cdot \sqrt[4]{e_{2}-e_{3}} \cdot \sigma u=\frac{1}{i} e^{\frac{n u^{2}}{\omega \omega}} h^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \cdot F\left(i z h^{4}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

It will be remembered that $e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}$ are the roots of the equation

$$
4 x^{3}-g 2 x-g_{3}=0
$$

The discriminant of which，squared

$$
\left\{\left(e_{1}-e_{2}\right)\left(e_{2}-e_{3}\right)\left(e_{3}-e_{2}\right)\right\}^{2}=\frac{g_{2}^{3}-27 g_{3}^{2}}{16}=G,
$$

so that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sqrt{\frac{2 \omega}{\pi}} \cdot \sqrt[\&]{G}=\frac{1}{i} e^{\frac{n u^{2}}{2 \omega}} h^{\frac{1}{.}} \cdot z \cdot F\left(h^{\frac{1}{}} z\right)=e^{\frac{n u^{2}}{2 \omega}} \frac{1}{i} \sum_{n}(-1)^{n} h^{\neq 2 n+1)^{2} z^{2 n+1}} \\
n=0, \quad \pm 1, \quad \pm 2, \ldots \pm \infty, \\
z=e^{\frac{u \pi i}{2 \omega}}, \quad h=e^{\frac{\omega^{-}}{\omega} \pi i} .
\end{gathered}
$$

The expression for $F z$ becomes，since

$$
\begin{gathered}
z^{2 n}+z^{-2 n}=2 \cos \frac{n u \pi i}{\omega} . \\
F(z)=1+2 h \cos \frac{u \pi i}{\omega}+2 h^{4} \cos 2 \frac{u \pi i}{\omega}+2 h^{9} \cos 3 \frac{u \pi i}{\omega}+\ldots
\end{gathered}
$$

which is the $\mathcal{L}$ series of Jacobi（Werke，Bd．I，p．501）．Weierstrass defines the $\mathcal{I}$ functions as follows：

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{i} \sum_{n}(-1)^{n} h^{1(2 n+1)^{2}} z^{2 n+1}=2 h^{\frac{1}{4}} \sin v \pi-2 h^{\frac{9}{9}} \sin 3 v \pi+2 h^{\frac{25}{7}} \sin 5 v \pi=\ldots=\mathcal{S}_{1}(v), \\
& \sum_{n} h^{\frac{1}{4}(2 n+1)^{2}} z^{2 n+1}=2 h^{\frac{1}{4}} \cos v \pi+2 h^{\frac{9}{9}} \cos 3 v \pi+2 h^{\frac{25}{7}} \cos 5 v \pi+\ldots=夕_{2}(v), \\
& \sum_{n} h^{n^{2}} z^{2 n}=1+2 h \cos 2 v \pi+2 h^{4} \cos 4 v \pi+2 h^{9} \cos 6 v \pi+\ldots=ף_{3}(v), \\
& \sum_{n}(-1)^{n} h^{n} z^{2 n}=1-2 h \cos 2 v \pi+2 h^{4} \cos 4 v \pi-2 h^{9} \cos 6 v \pi+\ldots \doteq 夕_{0}(v),
\end{aligned}
$$

which agree with Jacobi's notation when $v \pi=x ; h=q$. Hermite writes

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sum_{m}(-1)^{m \nu} e^{i \pi[2 m+\mu) x+\neq \omega(2 m+\mu)^{2]}}=\theta_{\mu, \nu}(x), \\
m=0, \quad \pm 1, \quad \pm 2, \ldots \pm \infty .
\end{gathered}
$$

If now we define the function $\Theta$ by the equation

$$
\Theta_{v}(u)=e^{2 \eta \omega v^{2}} \cdot \vartheta_{v}\left(v, \frac{\omega^{\prime}}{\omega}\right) ; u=2 \omega v,
$$

then the four sigma-functions are thus expressed through $\Theta$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sqrt{\frac{2 \omega}{\pi}} \sqrt[8]{G} \cdot \sigma u=e^{2 \eta \omega v^{2}} \AA_{1}\left(v, \frac{\omega^{\prime}}{\omega}\right)=\Theta_{1}\left(u, \omega, \omega^{\prime}\right) \\
& \sqrt{\frac{2 \omega}{\pi}} \sqrt[4]{e_{\mu}-e_{\nu}} \cdot \sigma_{\lambda} u=e^{2 \eta \omega v^{2}} \AA_{2}\left(v, \frac{\omega^{\prime}}{\omega}\right)=\Theta_{2}\left(u, \omega, \omega^{\prime}\right), \\
& \sqrt{\frac{2 \omega}{\pi}} \sqrt[4]{e_{\lambda}-e_{\nu}} \cdot \sigma_{\mu} u=e^{2 \eta \omega v^{2}} \AA_{3}\left(v, \frac{\omega^{\prime}}{\omega}\right)=\Theta_{3}\left(u, \omega, \omega^{\prime}\right) \\
& \sqrt{\frac{2 \omega}{\pi}} \sqrt[4]{e_{\lambda}-e_{\mu}} \cdot \sigma_{\nu} u=e^{2 \eta \omega v^{2}} \Omega_{0}\left(v, \frac{\omega^{\prime}}{\omega}\right)=\Theta_{0}\left(u, \omega, \omega^{\prime}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where again $v=\frac{u}{2 \omega}$. By developing according to powers of $v$, and comparing we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sqrt{\frac{2 \omega}{\pi}} \sqrt[8]{\bar{G}}=\frac{1}{2 \omega} \Omega_{1}^{\prime}(0)=\frac{\pi}{\omega} h^{\frac{1}{*}}\left(1-3 h^{1.2}+5 h^{2.3}-7 h^{3.4}+\ldots\right) \\
& \sqrt{\frac{2 \omega}{\pi}} \sqrt[4]{e_{\mu}-e_{\nu}}=\rho_{2}(0) \quad=2 h^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(1+h^{1.2}+h^{2.3}+h^{3.4}+\ldots\right) \\
& \sqrt{\frac{2 \omega}{\pi}} \sqrt[4]{e_{\lambda}-e_{\nu}}=\lambda_{3}(0) \quad=1+2 h+2 h^{4}+2 h^{9}+\ldots \\
& \sqrt{\frac{2 \omega}{\pi}} \sqrt[4]{e_{\lambda}-e_{\mu}}=\gamma_{0}(0) \quad=1-2 h+2 h^{4}-2 h^{9}+\ldots
\end{aligned}
$$

From these spring the following equations which become useful in computation :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& e_{\lambda}=\frac{1}{3}\left(\frac{\pi}{2 \omega}\right)^{2}\left(\Re_{3}^{4}(0)+\Re_{0}^{4}(0)\right), \quad e_{\mu}=\frac{1}{3}\left(\frac{\pi}{2 \omega}\right)^{2}\left(\curvearrowright_{2}^{4}(0)-\mathcal{F}_{0}^{4}(0)\right) \text {, } \\
& e_{\nu}=-\frac{1}{3}\left(\frac{\pi}{2 \omega}\right)^{2}\left(\Re_{2}^{4}(0)+\mathfrak{Z}_{3}^{4}(0)\right), \\
& \sqrt{\frac{2 \omega}{\pi}}=\frac{2 h^{\frac{1}{7}}+2 h^{\frac{9}{7}}+2 h^{\frac{25}{干}}+\cdots}{\sqrt[4]{e_{\mu}-e_{\nu}}}=\frac{2}{\sqrt[4]{e_{\lambda}-e_{\nu}}-\sqrt[4]{\overline{e_{\lambda}-e_{\mu}}}}\left(2 h+2 h^{9}+2 h^{25}+\ldots\right) \\
& \sqrt{\frac{2 \omega}{\pi}}=\frac{1+2 h+2 h^{4}+2 h^{9}+\cdots}{\sqrt[4]{e_{\lambda}-e_{\nu}}}=\frac{2}{\sqrt[4]{e_{\lambda}-e_{\nu}}+\sqrt[4]{e_{\lambda}-e_{\mu}}}\left(1+2 h^{4}+2 h^{16}+\ldots\right) \\
& \sqrt{k}=\frac{\sqrt[4]{e_{\mu}-e_{\nu}}}{\sqrt[4]{e_{\lambda}-e_{\nu}}}=\frac{\mathcal{I}_{2}\left(0, \frac{\omega^{\prime}}{\omega}\right)}{\mathcal{I}_{3}\left(0, \frac{\sigma^{\prime}}{\omega}\right)}=\frac{2 h^{\frac{1}{4}}+2 h^{\frac{9}{9}}+2 h^{25}+\ldots}{1+2 h+2 h^{4}+2 h^{9}+\ldots}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\sqrt{k^{\prime}}=\frac{\sqrt[4]{e_{\lambda}-e_{\mu}}}{\sqrt[4]{e_{\lambda}-e_{\nu}}}=\frac{\mathcal{S}_{0}\left(0, \frac{\omega^{\prime}}{\omega}\right)}{\mathcal{S}_{3}\left(0, \frac{\omega^{\prime}}{\omega}\right)}=\frac{1-2 h+2 h^{4}-2 h^{9}+\ldots}{1+2 h+2 h^{4}+2 h^{9}+\ldots}
$$

We define

$$
l=\frac{1-\sqrt{ } k^{\prime}}{1+\sqrt{ } k^{\prime}}=\frac{\sqrt[4]{e_{\lambda}-e_{\nu}}-\sqrt[4]{e_{\lambda}-e_{\mu}}}{\sqrt[4]{e_{\lambda}-e_{\nu}}+\sqrt[4]{e_{\lambda}-e_{\mu}}}=\frac{2 h+2 h^{9}+\ldots}{1+2 h^{4}+2 h^{16}+\ldots}=\frac{\mathcal{I}_{2}\left(0,4 \frac{\omega^{\prime}}{\omega}\right)}{\mathcal{I}_{3}\left(0,4 \frac{\omega^{\prime}}{\omega}\right)}
$$

which is identically satisfied by writing

$$
h=q=\frac{l}{2}+2\left(\frac{l}{2}\right)^{5}+15\left(\frac{l}{2}\right)^{9}+150\left(\frac{l}{2}\right)^{13}+\ldots
$$

These expressions for $l$ and $h$ make the computation of the period $2 \omega$ or of Jacobi's $K$ very easy.

In explaining more at length the methods employed for computing, I cannot do better than to give them with scarcely any variation from the words of that most genial expounder of Weierstrass' theories, Prof. Schwarz. When the three roots $e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}$, are once known, we can, by the aid of the formulæ just given, not only compute with the greatest ease the two periods $2 \omega$, $2 \omega^{\prime}$, but we can also express the sigma-quotients through such $\mathcal{D}$ series that the argument $h$ shall have the smallest possible value, and the series converge most rapidly. This last end is brought about by so choosing the order of magnitude of $e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}$, that

$$
l=\frac{\sqrt[4]{e_{\lambda}-e_{\nu}}-\sqrt[4]{e_{\lambda}-e_{\mu}}}{\sqrt[4]{e_{\lambda}-e_{\nu}}+\sqrt[4]{e_{\lambda}-e_{\mu}}}
$$

which is used in the computation of $h$ shall be as small as possible. Of the several cases which present themselves according as the invariants $g_{2}, g_{3}$ and the roots $e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}$, are real or imaginary, I shall discuss here but one, where all are real. The roots will be real when the discriminant $G=\frac{1}{16}\left(g_{2}^{3}-27 g_{3}^{2}\right)$ of the cubic equation $4 s^{3}-g_{2} s-g_{3}=0$ is positive and $g_{2}$ and $g_{3}$ real. We then assume $e_{1}>e_{2}>e_{3}$ and all the radicals positive ; farther $\lambda=1, \mu=2, \nu=3$, whereupon

$$
\begin{aligned}
h^{2} & =\frac{e_{2}-e_{3}}{e_{1}-e_{3}}, \quad k^{\prime 2}=\frac{e_{1}-e_{2}}{e_{1}-e_{3}}, \quad \omega_{1}=\frac{K}{\sqrt{e_{1}-e_{3}}}, \quad \omega_{3}=\frac{K^{\prime} i}{\sqrt{e_{1}-e_{3}}} \\
\frac{\sigma^{\prime} \omega_{1}}{\sigma \omega_{1}} & =\eta_{1}, \quad \frac{\sigma^{\prime} \omega_{3}}{\sigma \omega_{3}}=\eta_{3}, \quad \tau=\frac{\omega_{3}}{\omega_{1}}, \quad h=e^{\pi \pi i}, \quad h_{1}=h^{\prime}=e^{-\frac{\pi i}{\tau}} \\
v & =\frac{u}{2 \omega_{1}}, \quad v_{1}=\frac{u i}{2 \omega_{3}}, \quad \text { where } \omega_{1}, \quad \frac{\omega_{3}}{i}, \quad \frac{\psi_{\tau}^{*}}{i}, \quad h_{1} h, \text { are positive. }
\end{aligned}
$$

For the computation of the periods the following system of equations is used:

$$
l=\frac{\sqrt[4]{e_{1}-e_{3}}-\sqrt[4]{e_{1}-e_{2}}}{\sqrt[4]{e_{1}-e_{3}}+\sqrt[4]{e_{1}-e_{2}}}, \quad h=\frac{l}{2}+2\left(\frac{l}{2}\right)^{5}+15\left(\frac{l}{2}\right)^{9}+150\left(\frac{l}{2}\right)^{13}+\ldots
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sqrt{\frac{2 \omega_{1}}{\pi}}=\frac{2}{\sqrt[4]{e_{1}-e_{3}}+\sqrt[4]{e_{1}-e_{2}}}\left(1+2 h^{4}+2 h^{16}+\ldots\right), \quad \omega_{3}=\frac{\omega_{1} i}{\pi} \text { log. nat. } \frac{1}{h}, \\
2 \eta_{1} \omega_{1}=\frac{\pi^{2}}{6} \frac{1-3^{3} h^{2}+5^{3} h^{6}-7^{3} h^{12}+\ldots}{1-3 h^{2}+5 h^{6}-7 h^{12}+\ldots}, \eta_{1} \omega_{3}-\omega_{1} \eta_{3}=\frac{1}{3} \pi i \\
\sqrt{\frac{2 \omega_{1}}{\pi} \sqrt[4]{e_{2}-e_{3}}}=2 h^{\frac{1}{4}}\left(1+h^{2}+h^{6}+h^{12}+\ldots\right) \\
\sqrt{\frac{2 \omega_{1}}{\pi} \sqrt[4]{e_{1}-e_{3}}}=1+2 h+2 h^{4}+2 h^{9}+\ldots \\
\sqrt{\frac{2 \omega_{1}}{\pi}} \sqrt[4]{e_{1}-e_{2}} \\
=1-2 h+2 h^{4}-2 h^{9}+\ldots \\
\sqrt{\frac{2 \omega_{1}}{\pi}} \sqrt[8]{G}=\frac{\pi}{\omega_{1}} \cdot h^{\frac{1}{4}\left(1-3 h^{2}+5 h^{6}-7 h^{9}+\ldots\right)}
\end{gathered}
$$

For the calculation of the sigma-functions we shall have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sqrt{\frac{2 \omega_{1}}{\pi}} \sqrt[8]{G} \cdot \sigma u=e^{2 \eta_{1} \omega_{1}, v^{2}} \mathcal{I}_{1}(v, \tau) \\
& \sqrt{\frac{2 \omega_{1}}{\pi}} \sqrt[4]{e_{2}-e_{3}} . \sigma_{1} u=e^{2 \eta_{1} \omega_{1} v^{2}} \AA_{2}(v, \tau) \\
& \sqrt{\frac{2 \omega_{1}}{\pi}} \sqrt[4]{e_{1}-e_{3}} . \sigma_{2} u=e^{2 \eta_{1} \omega_{1} v^{2}}{ }_{\beta}(v, \tau) \\
& \sqrt{\frac{2 \omega_{1}}{\pi}} \sqrt[4]{e_{1}-e_{2}} . \sigma_{3} u=e^{2 \eta_{1} \omega_{1} 0^{2}} \AA_{0}(v, \tau) \\
& \mathcal{S}_{0}(v, \tau)=1-2 h \cos 2 v \pi+2 h^{4} \cos 4 v \pi-2 h^{9} \cos 6 v \pi+\ldots \\
& \mathcal{S}_{1}(v, \tau)=2 h^{\frac{1}{4}} \sin v \pi-2 h^{\frac{9}{4}} \sin 3 v \pi+2 h^{\frac{25}{4}} \sin 5 v \pi-\ldots \\
& S_{2}(v, \tau)=2 h^{\frac{1}{4}} \cos v \pi+2 h^{\frac{9}{4}} \cos 3 v \pi+2 h^{\frac{25}{4}} \cos 5 v \pi+\ldots \\
& \mathcal{I}_{3}(v, \tau)=1+2 h \cos 2 v \pi+2 h^{4} \cos 4 v \pi+2 h^{9} \cos 6 v \pi+\ldots
\end{aligned}
$$

If, however, we have to choose $\lambda=3, \mu=2, \nu=1$, the equations become

$$
\begin{gathered}
l_{1}=\frac{\sqrt[4]{e_{1}-e_{3}}-\sqrt[4]{\sqrt[4]{e_{1}-e_{3}}}}{\sqrt[4]{e_{3}-e_{3}}}, h_{1}=\frac{l_{1}}{2}+2\left(\frac{l_{1}}{2}\right)^{5}+15\left(\frac{l}{2}\right)^{9}+150\left(\frac{l}{2}\right)^{13}+\ldots \\
\sqrt{\frac{2 \omega_{3}}{\pi i}}=\frac{2}{\sqrt[4]{e_{1}-e_{3}}+\sqrt[4]{e_{2}-e_{3}}}\left(1+2 h_{1}^{4}+2 h_{1}^{16}+\ldots\right) \omega_{1}=\frac{\omega_{3}}{\pi i} \text { log. nat. }\left(\frac{1}{h_{1}}\right) \\
\sqrt{\frac{2 \omega_{3}}{\pi i}} \cdot \sqrt[4]{e_{1}-e_{2}}
\end{gathered}=2 h_{1}^{\frac{1}{i}}\left(1+h_{1}^{2}+h_{1}^{6}+h_{1}^{12}+\ldots\right) .
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sqrt{\frac{2 \omega_{3}}{\pi i}} \sqrt[8]{G} \cdot \sigma u=\frac{1}{i} e^{-2 \eta_{3} \omega_{0} v_{i}^{2}} \mathcal{A}_{1}\left(v_{1} i, \frac{-1}{\tau}\right), \\
& \sqrt{\frac{2 \omega_{3}}{\pi i}} \sqrt[4]{e_{2}-e_{3}} \cdot \sigma_{1} u=e^{-2 \eta_{3} \omega_{s} v_{i}^{2}} \mathcal{D}_{0}\left(v_{1} i, \frac{-1}{\tau}\right), \\
& \sqrt{\frac{2 \omega_{3}}{\pi i}} \sqrt[4]{e_{1}-e_{3}} . \sigma_{2} u=e^{-2 \eta_{3} \omega_{s} v_{1}^{2}} \AA_{3}\left(v_{1} i, \frac{-1}{\tau}\right), \\
& \sqrt{\frac{2 \omega_{3}}{\pi i}} \sqrt[4]{e_{1}-e_{2}} . \sigma_{3} u=e^{-2 \eta_{3} \omega_{3} v_{1}^{2}} \mathscr{\Omega}_{2}\left(v_{1} i, \frac{-1}{\tau}\right) . \\
& \left.\rho_{0}\left(v_{1} i, \frac{-1}{\tau}\right)=1-h_{1}\left(e^{2 v_{1} \pi}+e^{-2 v_{1} \pi}\right)+h_{1}^{4}\left(e^{4 v_{1} \pi}+e^{-4 v_{1} \pi}\right)-h_{1}^{9} e^{6 v_{1} \pi}+e^{-6 v_{1} \pi}\right)+\ldots \\
& \frac{1}{i} \mathcal{q}_{1}\left(v_{1} i, \frac{-1}{\tau}\right)=h_{1}^{\frac{1}{4}}\left(e^{v_{1} \pi}-e^{-v_{1} \pi}\right)-h_{1}^{\frac{9}{9}}\left(e^{3 v_{1} \pi}-e^{3 v_{1} \pi}\right)+h_{1}^{25}\left(e^{5 v_{1} \pi}-e^{-5 v_{1} \pi}\right)-\ldots \\
& \mathcal{I}_{2}\left(v_{1} i, \frac{-1}{\tau}\right)=h_{1}^{\frac{2}{4}}\left(e^{v_{1} \pi}+e^{-v_{1} \pi}\right)+h_{1}^{\frac{9}{9}}\left(e^{3 v_{1} \pi}+e^{3 v_{1} \pi}\right)+h_{1}^{2 \frac{5}{4}}\left(e^{5 v_{1} \pi}+e^{-5 v_{1} \pi}\right)+\ldots \\
& \mathcal{A}_{3}\left(v_{1} i, \frac{-1}{\tau}\right)=1+h_{1}\left(e^{2 v_{1} \pi}+e^{-2 v_{1} \pi}\right)+h_{1}^{4}\left(e^{4 v_{1} \pi}+e^{-4 v_{1} \pi}\right)+h_{1}^{9}\left(e^{6 v_{1} \pi}+e^{-6 v_{1} \pi}\right)+\ldots
\end{aligned}
$$

When $e_{2}-e_{3} \lesseqgtr e_{1}-e_{2}$, that is, $e_{2} \lesseqgtr 0$, then is

$$
l_{1} \lesseqgtr \frac{\sqrt[4]{2}-1}{\sqrt[4]{2}+1}, h_{1} \gtreqless e^{-\pi} \quad \text { and } l \lesseqgtr \frac{\sqrt[4]{2}-1}{\sqrt[4]{2}+1}, h \lesseqgtr e^{-\pi}
$$

When $g_{3}$ is positive, that is $e_{2}<0$, it is advisable to use the formulæ for $h$ and $l$, otherwise those for $h_{1}, l_{1}$ will be found best, because in the first case we have $h<h_{1}$, in the second $h_{1}<h$.

For the calculation of the elliptic integral of the first kind in the ordinary form, Professor Schwarz throws the necessary formulæ into the following shape "Among the values of $u$ for which $\wp u=s$, there are, in consequence of the equation

$$
\frac{\sigma_{3}\left(u \pm 2 \omega^{\prime}\right)}{\sigma_{2}\left(u \pm 2 \omega^{\prime}\right)}=-\frac{\sigma_{3} u}{\sigma_{2} u},
$$

always such for which the real component of $\frac{\sqrt[4]{e_{1}-e_{2}}}{\sqrt[4]{e_{1}-e_{3}}}$ is not negative and consequently the modulus of

$$
\frac{\sqrt[4]{e_{1}-e_{3}} \cdot \sigma_{2} u-\sqrt[4]{e_{1}-e_{2}} \cdot \sigma_{3} u}{\sqrt[4]{e_{1}-e_{3}} \cdot \sigma_{2} u+\sqrt[4]{e_{1}-e_{3}} \cdot \sigma_{3} u}=\frac{\sqrt[4]{e_{1}-e_{3}}-\sqrt[4]{e_{1}-e_{2}}}{\sqrt[4]{e_{1}-e_{3}}+\sqrt[4]{e_{1}-e_{2}}} \cdot \frac{\sigma_{1}\left(2 u, \omega, 4\left(\omega^{\prime}\right)\right.}{\sigma_{2}\left(2 u, \omega, 4 \omega^{\prime}\right)},
$$

is not greater than unity. The value of $\sqrt{s-e_{2}}$ can be chosen at pleasure, after which the value of $\sqrt{s-e_{3}}$ can be so taken that the real component of

$$
\frac{\sqrt[4]{e_{1}-e_{2}} \cdot \sqrt{s-e_{2}}}{\sqrt[4]{e_{1}-e_{3}} \cdot \sqrt{s-e_{2}}}
$$

shall not be negative. We then write

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\sqrt[4]{e_{1}-e_{3}}}{\sqrt[4]{e_{1}-e_{3}}+\sqrt[4]{e_{1}-e_{2}}}=l, \quad \frac{\sqrt[4]{e_{1}-e_{3}} \cdot \sqrt{s-e_{2}}}{\sqrt[4]{e_{1}-e_{2}} \cdot \sqrt{s-e_{2}}}+\sqrt[4]{e_{1}-e_{2}} \cdot \sqrt{e_{1}-e_{2}} \cdot \sqrt{s-e_{3}} \\
& \\
& L_{0}=1, \\
& L_{1}=1+\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2} l^{4}, \\
& \\
& L_{2}=1+\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2} l^{4}+\left(\frac{1.3}{2.4}\right)^{2} l^{8}, \\
& \quad \cdot . . . . . . . . . . . \\
& \cdot . . . . . . . . . . . \\
& \\
& L=1+\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2} l^{4}+\left(\frac{1.3}{2.4}\right)^{2} 7^{8}+\left(\frac{1.3 .5}{2.4 .6}\right)^{2} l^{12}+\ldots
\end{aligned}
$$

when the equation

$$
\begin{aligned}
u= & \frac{2}{\left(\sqrt[4]{e_{1}-e_{3}}+\sqrt[4]{e_{1}-e_{2}}\right)^{2}} \int_{t}^{1} \frac{d t}{\sqrt{ }\left(1-t^{2}\right)\left(1-l^{4} t^{2}\right)} \\
= & \frac{2}{\left(\sqrt[4]{e_{1}-e_{3}}+\sqrt[4]{e_{1}-e_{2}}\right)^{2}}\left\{L \cdot \frac{1}{i} \text { log. nat. }\left(t+i \sqrt{1-t^{2}}\right)+\sqrt{ } 1-t^{2}\left[\frac{L-L_{0}}{l}(l t)\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.\quad+\frac{2}{3} \frac{L-L_{1}}{l^{3}}(l t)^{3}+\frac{2.4}{3.5} \cdot \frac{L-L_{2}}{l^{5}}(l t)^{5}+\ldots\right]\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

determines such a value for $u$ as satisfies the equation $\varphi u=s$, when to $\sqrt{1-t^{2}}$ is given either one of its two values, and to the log. nat. any one of its infinite values."

It is to be hoped that notwithstanding a few gaps in the demonstrations, this sketch has been elaborate enough to give mathematical students a clear idea of these theories in themselves and in relation to the older nomenclature of Jacobi.

